# Board Meeting June 18-19, 2002

# Agenda Item Attachment 1

To request a Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR), please complete and sign this form and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board Office of Local Assistance, (MS 25) 1001 | Street PO Box 4025 Sacramento CA 95812-4025

#### General Instructions:

For a Time Extension complete Sections I, II, III-A, IV-A, and V.

For an Alternative Diversion Requirement complete Sections I, II, III-B, IV-B and V.

Section I: Junsdiction Information and Certification All respondents must complete this section.						
I certify under penalty of perjury that the information in this document is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of:						
Juńsdiction Name			County			
City Of Downey			Los Angeles			
Authorized Signature			Title			
Could H. Cate			City Manager			
Type/Print Name of Person Signing	Date		Phone			
Gerald M. Caton		5-20-02		(562) 904-7284		
Person Completing This Form (please print or type)			Title			
Kathy Simmons		Integrated Waste Coordinator				
Phone		E-mail Address		Fax		
(562)904-7103		ksimmons@downeyca.org		(562) 904-7296		
Mailing Address	Mailing Address City		State		ZIP Code	
11111 Brookshire Avenue Downey			CA .		90241	

Section I—Cover Sheet	
This cover sheet is to be completed for eac Requirement (ADR) requested.	h Time Extension (TE):or Alternative Diversion
Has your jurisdiction filed its Source Reduce Element, and Nondisposal Facility Element requesting an ADR)?      No. If no, stop; not eligible for a Tile.	tion and Recycling Element, Household Hazardous Waste with the Board (must have been filed by July 1, 1998 if you are
Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or	ADR.
2. Specific Request and Length of Requ	est
Please specify the request desired.	
☑ Time Extension Request	
Specific years requested _2002 and 20	<b>)3</b>
Is this a second request? 🔯 No (Note: Requests for an additional meet the 50% goal by the end of	Yes Specific years requested.  If extension will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to the first extension were not successful.)
☐ Alternative Diversion Requirement R	equest (Not allowed for Regional Agencies),
Specific ADR requested	%, for the years
Is this a second ADR request? No years(Note: Requests for an additiona 50% by the end of the first ADR	ADR will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet
January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An one three years and subsequent requests for TE	e by a jurisdiction, but will only be effective in the years from ginal request for a TE/ADR may be granted for any period up to E/ADR may extend the original request or be based on new for all requests cannot total more than five years or extend

## Section IIIA -TIME EXTENSION

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 60%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's progress in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIA-t).

- Why does your jurisdiction need more time to meet the 50% goal? Describe why SRRE selected
  programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate
  how they will be overcome.
- The City has met and exceeded the required goal each year since 1995 (31% 1995 / 45% 1996 / 32% 1997 / 42% 1998 / 58% 1999. The City has had a curbside recycling program in place since 1974 plus successful SRRE programs in place which have contributed in meeting the goals,
- The City believes that there are major misallocation issues associated with illegal (or non-franchise hauters or unlicensed businesses that are assigning waste to the City), while the City attempted to reconcile these misallocations the necessary supporting documentation for making a misallocation claim to the Board could not be provided by the facilities contacted. Please see item 4 below.
- Recognizing the difficulties with acquiring misallocation data from facilities, the City has decided to focus its efforts on improving reporting requirements as well as expanding existing programs.
- 2. Why does your jurisdiction need the amount of time requested? Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for a Time Extension.
- It will take City Staff time to create a C & D Policy and present it to Council for approval. Once the Ordinance is approved, it will take time to set into action. It will also take time to enforce an ordinance to curtial illegal hauling activities.

Also applies to Technical Assistance program to target the commercial sector.

3. Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

As stated in Section 1, the diversion rate for reporting year 2000 is 43%. The City has well established programs in place, including; residential recycling, school recycling, grasscycling (parks & schools), procurement.

As stated in Section 2, a C & D Ordinance would remedy the issue of materials management from re-development projects, as well as curtail illegal hauling activities through the City's project permitting process.

4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.

Disposal Reporting System Issue: After submitting the year 2000 report to the CIWMB in August 2001, Board Staff requested back up information justifying the tonnage adjustment request. Only limited back up was available (to be sent to the CIWMB) due to the fact that 14% of the tonnage (or 17,973.76 tons) detail information was either illegal hauler activity, unidentifiable or insufficient data was accessible. Since the most of the information was not available, that tonnage was not adjusted; therefore the diversion rate will be amended 43%. (Numerous requests were made to the facilities for the detail or back up information, however the facilities did not respond or were not compliant in supplying the requested information.) Please see Attachments A and B from the City's 2000 Annual Report for additional comments.

Walter State of the State of th

14 September 1

. .

Section IV A—PLA  A Plan of Correction		- pr. ( p p. p. p p. p. p. p. p. p. p. p.	ି ପୂର୍ବ ବିଧି ପ୍ରତି ପୂର୍ବ ବିଧି ପ୍ରତି ପିଧି ପ୍ରତି କଥା ବିଧି ପରି ବିଧି ବିଧି ବିଧି ବିଧି ବିଧି ବିଧି ବିଧି ବି	1898/51/61/D1-Th.			H. S.	
description of the a Extension.  Attach additional sheets	actions:th	ie juris	diction will take	to meet the 50%	goal by the	expiratio	n of the Time	
Residential %			40% Non-res			idential %		
PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at:	NEW or EXPAND		DESCRIPTION OF	PROGRAM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULL COMPLET	I COLUMNICE	
www.ciwmb.ca.gov/ LGCentral/PARIS/Codes/ Reduce.htm								
Concrete/Asphalt/Rubble 4060-SP-CAR	Expand	Local Government Ordinance for C&D recycling. The ordinance will address building code permitting changes, C&D handling requirements, project diversion requirements, project waste management reporting (disposal / diversion).			, N/A	12/2003	6%	
		of the n	new Construction and De s as set forth in new Loc					
Commercial On Site P/U 2030-RC-OSP	Expand	Franchise Hauler to provide technical assistance to the largest 25 businesses to encourage diversion programs and promote Franchise Hauler rate incentives. The Franchise Hauler currently provides commercial recycling at a substantial discount. The purpose of this program will be to encourage more businesses to take advantage of the reduced rate for recycling and encourage more diversion.			Franch Hauler	12/2002	5%	
18 2 4 6 6 7 1 2 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								
	1	T	otal Estimated Diversi	on Percent From New a	nd/or Expande	d Programs	11%	
			Current Diversio	n Rate Percent From La	itest Annual Re	port	43	
			Total Pla	ınned Diversion Percen	t Estimated		54%	

\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPANDED	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETE:
<b>-</b>		70 - T 1 - TAB 11 - L - L - L - L - L - L - L - L - L	
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

### Section V - PARIS

Office of Local Assistance staff will be reviewing your Jurisdiction's Planning Annual Report Information System (PARIS) database printout as part of the evaluation of your request. Should the Jurisdiction have updates or revisions to the program implementation from the latest Annual Report submitted to the Board, please attach to the application the Jurisdiction's PARIS database printout showing updates or revisions.

Contact your Office of Local Assistance Representative at (916) 341-6199 for a copy of PARIS, or go to the Board's website at www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/

### Attachment A

	and the control of th	Attachment	A construction of the cons
	ey - 2000 CIWMB Annual Report \ - To Question A6	• .	en e
SWIS#	Facility / Reporting County	Tons	Reason
19-AA-0854	CalMat - Los Angeles County	(3,498.75)	Unidentifiable Tonnage - Records were requested from the facility and the representative from the facility stated the following: Records are not computerized. Hard copy records are archived at a remote location, and there is no one available to retrieve them. Jurisdiction and/or consultant is required to send a bonded individual plus pay for a 3rd party observer to review physical records due to proprietary Information.
19-AA-0052	Chiquita - Los Angeles County	(227.27)	Insufficient Data / Unidentifiable Tonnage - Facility only provided partial detail records due to the fact that they had a mid-year Internal tonnage database tracking system change.  The detail records provided incomplete / minimal information on cash customers.
19-AA-0506	Commerce WTE - Los Angeles County	(130.14)	Non - Franchise Hauler - Company was identified on detail report provided by facility. Letter requesting verification / correction went out to hauler.
19-AA-0849	NuWay - Los Angeles County	(1,099.50)	Agency Loads - Los Angeles County Department of Public Works has office in jurisdiction, however, does not do work in jurisdiction per jurisdiction's Public Works Department. This is a reporting issue with facility.
19-AA-0849	NuWay - Los Angeles County	(1,062.00)	Agency Loads - Downey Area Recycling & Transfer loads - MRF/TS is located in jurisdiction, however, these loads are not from jurisdiction per facility reporting representative. This is a reporting issue with facility.
			DART SWIS - 19-AA-0801
19-AA-0849	NuWay - Los Angeles County	(1,338.00)	Unidentifiable Tonnage - Tonnage contributed by cash customers detail does not provide any way to verify origin of individual loads.
19-AA-0849	NuWay - Los Angeles County	(198.00)	Unlicensed Businesses - Specific companies were identified on detail report provided by facility. Letters requesting verification / correction went out to each business.
19-AA-0849	NuWay - Los Angeles County	(810.00)	Non - Franchise Haulers - Specific companies were identified on detail report provided by facility. Letters requesting verification / correction went out to each hauler.
30-AB-0035	Olinda - Orange County	(462.05)	Insufficient Data / Unidentifiable Tonnage - Facility only provided partial detail records due to the fact that they had a mid-year internal tonnage database tracking system change.  The detail records provided incomplete / minimal information on cash customers.
19-AA-0053	Puente Hills - Los Angeles County	(2,842.21)	Non - Franchise Haulers - Specific companies were identified on detail report provided by facility. Letters requesting verification / correction went out to each hauler.
19-AA-0053	Puente Hills - Los Angeles County	(6,995.16)	Unlicensed Businesses - Specific companies were identified on detail report provided by facility. Letters requesting verification / correction went out to each business.
i			

## Attachment B - City of Downey / CIWMB 2000 Annual Report

Subject: Reporting Issues

and a new state of the state of

In the process of gathering information to complete year 2000 AB939 Annual Report, several difficulties are being encountered. The City of Downey is contracted with an exclusive franchise hauler, which should alleviate some of the issues as far as tracking the waste and having some sort of balance at the end of the year.

The franchise hauler utilizes an effective tracking system, and takes actions to ensure that the most accurate records are kept. This is a lengthy process, which starts with the route driver, who is required to identify the load (origin and material) correctly at a facility's scale house. The driver is also required to turn in his paperwork (weight receipts) on a daily basis, with all of the pertinent information. From that point, the data is input and daily reports are generated to verify all driver and route information. At the end of the month, tonnage records are reconciled with monthly invoices from the individual facilities.

It would be helpful to the jurisdictions if the DRS information became available earlier in the year. This would allow more time for effective research and record verification.

Jurisdictions are subject to stringent reporting and record requirements; yet there don't seem to be equal requirements for the facilities where these jurisdictions' materials are being handled.

Facilities should be required to submit detailed quarterly reports to any respective jurisdiction included on their monthly tonnage report to the agencies. Information should include the following:

Inbound Tonnage Reports – Refuse disposal customer activity report by name (Listing: customer names, address, phone number, number of loads, material type, and total tonnage delivered)

Diversion Reports - Detailing how much of each material was diverted

Outbound Tonnage Reports (in the case of a MRF / Transfer Station) — Detailed by month, listing each facility and the total tonnage delivered from the City.

There should be data tracking requirements made so that facilities are able to submit accurate detail reports upon a jurisdiction's request.

Some problems have been encountered with two facilities. Detail reports were requested from one facility, and the representative informed us that detail records were only available from August 2000 through December 2000 due to a mid-year database system change (also, there were no detail records available on cash and credit card customers). How are records from the first part of the year supposed to be verified?

A request was made for the same type of report from a "C&D" facility, and we were told that they do not have the capability to run such a report, and that we would have to go through their physical tickets / records to retrieve the necessary information. A second conversation revealed that the facility cannot provide the information since it was being stored at a remote location, and that they did not have any personnel available to retrieve the records. The representative

City of Downey 2000 Annual Report

(from the second conversation) also noted that their record keeping system was not computerized and that they used "no scales whatsoever". He also informed us that if we were to inspect the physical records, that the jurisdiction (or consultant) would have to send a bonded individual plus pay for a 3<sup>rd</sup> party observer (to be present for the research) since there was proprietary information involved.

Facility information needs to be updated on the CIWMB's SWIS database. Some of the facility information was useful, however some of the information was lacking or outdated. A current contact and phone number (for the respective facility) for reporting would be extremely useful.

How is a jurisdiction supposed to effectively deal with these issues? If there are errors in the numbers reported to the DRS, and information is not available to the jurisdictions to make corrections, what action can a jurisdiction take? How can this be resolved?